



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	IO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,525		12/07/2001	Takayuki Kijima	OOCL-75 (US-P1476)	8215
26479	7590	04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	B & POKO		HANNETT, JAMES M		
	, 2ND FLO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TINTON	FALLS, N	IJ 07724	2612		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				····					
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
			25	KIJIMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit					
		James M		2612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. d days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <u>07 Decemb</u> er 2	<u>001</u> .		,				
2a) <u>□</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠									
Applicati	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	2001 is/are: a)⊠ a tion to the drawing(s) I the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/017,525

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: An image pickup device that can be driven by splitting a charge transfer gate into a plurality of phases.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 17 recites the limitation said output signal reading means. There is antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 2, and 4-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation said addition compensation. There is antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/017,525

Art Unit: 2612

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 1: Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,707,494 Misawa et al.
- As for Claim 1, Misawa et al teaches and depicts in Figure 2 an image pickup apparatus, 2: comprising: a solid-state image pickup element (114) that can be driven by splitting, into a plurality of phases, a charge transfer gate (TG1, TG3, TG5 and TG7) see Figure 4, which controls the transfer of a charge from an image part (114), which forms part of a pixel array, to a vertical transmission path (114C); driving means (124) capable of supplying a plurality of pulses (TG1, TG3, TG5 and TG7) for driving a charge transfer gate corresponding to said plurality of phases to said solid-state image pickup element; exposure control means (108) that ends exposure through the output of said pulse for driving charge transfer gate when a prescribed exposure time has elapsed since the start of exposure; Column 5, Lines 7-18. means of reading output signals (120) that reads signals output by said solid-state image pickup element; and signal compensation means (120) Column 6, Lines 11-28 that adds, to an output signal read by said output signal reading means, a prescribed amount of signal compensation that is determined in correspondence with said exposure time and output signal level, when a plurality of pulses for driving the charge transfer gates corresponding to said plurality of phases are output with prescribed time differences during exposure.
- 3: In regards to Claim 2, Misawa et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 11-28 wherein said signal compensation means implements said addition compensation after implementing

Art Unit: 2612

prescribed gamma conversion processing for output signal read by said means for reading output signals. The addition compensation is viewed by the examiner as the amplification of the signals in the motion picture mode.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4: Claims 3-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5: Claims 9-11 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the use of a disalignment compensation means that adds output signal and a prescribed compensation value when the exposure parameter recognition means recognizes that the image pickup element is being driven under the prescribed exposure conditions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hannett whose telephone number is 571-272-7309. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James M. Hannett Examiner Art Unit 2612

JMH March 23, 2005

WENDY R. GARBER
WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500